

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

JILL CARUSO,
Individually

Plaintiffs,

V.

LHO INDIANAPOLIS HOTEL ONE, L.L.C.
A Foreign Limited Liability Company

Defendant.

Case No.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Jill Caruso, individually, (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) on her behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated hereby sues the Defendant, LHO Indianapolis Hotel One, LLC., a Foreign Limited Liability Company (hereinafter “Defendant”), for Injunctive Relief, and attorney’s fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12181 et seq. (“ADA”). In support thereof, Plaintiff states:

1. This action is brought by Jill Caruso, and all persons similarly situated, pursuant to the enforcement provision of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) against the owners and/or operators of the Champions Sports Bar.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the following statutes:

a. 28 U.S.C. §1331, which governs actions that arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202.

b. 28 U.S.C. §1331, which gives District Courts original jurisdiction over civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; and

c. 28 U.S.C. §1343 (3) and (4), which gives District Courts jurisdiction over actions to secure civil rights extended by the United States government.

3. Venue is proper in this judicial district and division. Defendant does business in the State of Indiana, and all of the acts of discrimination alleged herein occurred in this judicial district and division.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Jill Caruso is a resident of Monroe, Oakland County, Michigan, uses a wheelchair, and is an individual with a disability within the meaning of ADA, 42 U.S.C. 12102(2), 28 C.F.R. 36.104.

5. Plaintiff Jill Caruso is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to, walking and standing.

6. Plaintiff Jill Caruso was a patron at the Champions Sports Bar, located at 350 West Maryland Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, on June 27, 2012.

7. Plaintiff Jill Caruso attended the annual spina bifida conference during the aforementioned date.

8. Plaintiff Jill Caruso intends to return to the area in August 2014.

9. The Champions Sports Bar is a place of public accommodation within the meaning of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. 12181, 28 C.F.R. 36.104.

10. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates the Champions Sports Bar, and is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE ADA

11. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs one (1) through ten (10) of this Complaint and incorporates them here as if set forth in full.

12. Plaintiff has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit, has been to the area on several occasions, and plans to return the property in August 2014 to avail herself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property.

13. There are numerous architectural barriers present at Champions Sports Bar that prevent and/or restrict access by Plaintiff, in that several features, elements, and spaces of Champions Sports Bar are not accessible to or usable by Plaintiffs, as specified in 28 C.F.R 36.406 and the Standards for Accessible Design, 28 C.F.R., Pt. 36, Appendix A (“the Standards”).

14. Elements and spaces to which there are barriers to access at the Champions Sports Bar include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- a. The bar counter is located too high for an individual in a wheelchair to utilize.
- b. There are no dining surfaces in which an individual in a wheelchair can utilize.
- c. There are stairs at the front entrance of the facility that do not have any handrails for an individual in a wheelchair to utilize.
- d. There is no entrance into the property aside from the stairs at the main entrance, which an individual in a wheelchair cannot utilize.
- e. There is not a continuous path of travel that connects all essential elements of the facility so that an individual in a wheelchair can utilize.

15. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 14 of this Complaint were personally encountered by Plaintiff. The Plaintiff, and all other disabled individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of, services, programs and activities of the Defendants' buildings and facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendants because of the Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth above.

16. The Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. The Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendants' buildings and its facilities, the opportunity to use such elements, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendants because of the Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth above.

17. Plaintiff has standing to sue for every barrier to access for the disabled that exists on the subject premises. Plaintiff has standing to require that all barriers to access on the property for the disabled are corrected, not merely only those Plaintiff Jill Caruso personally encountered.

18. Defendants' failure to remove the architectural barriers identified in paragraph fourteen (14) constitutes a pattern or practice of discrimination within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. 12188 (b)(1)(B)(i) and 28 C.F.R. 36.503 (a).

19. It would be readily achievable for the Defendant to remove the architectural barriers identified above.

20. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that

have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

21. The Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff by denying her access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq.

22. Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities.

23. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendants' non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described, but not necessarily limited to, the allegations in paragraph 14 of this Complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that she will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violations of the ADA by the Defendants.

24. Plaintiff is aware that it will be a futile gesture to re-visit the property until it becomes compliant with the ADA, unless she is willing to suffer further discrimination.

25. Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.

26. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiffs or waived by the Defendant.

27. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to make the property readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiffs and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the facility until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

28. Because Defendant has engaged in the acts and practices described above, Defendant has violated the law as alleged in this Complaint and unless restrained by this Honorable Court, Defendant will continue to violate the Constitution and laws of the United States of America and will cause injury, loss and damage to the Plaintiff, and all others so similarly situated.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Declare that Defendant has violated title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq, 28 C.F.R. pt. 36.

i. by failing to bring Champions Sports Bar into compliance with the Standards where it is readily achievable to do so; and

ii. by failing to take other readily achievable measures to remove architectural barriers to access when it is not readily achievable to comply fully with the Standards.

B. Order Defendant:

i. to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;

ii. to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities.

C. Award attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

E. Order such other appropriate relief as the interests of justice may require.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Kelly A. Gigli
Kelly A. Gigli
GIGLI LAW OFFICE
Leighton Plaza
130 S. Main Street, Ste. 110
South Bend, Indiana 46601
(574) 233-4377
Facs: (574) 233-4378
kgigli@Gigli-Law.com